

7. This case has been reviewed by at least (24) Judges and/or Justices in this court and the DC Courts below. That a majority of those Judges saw nothing of a threatening nature, intrinsic with the usurpation of our highest offices, is quite disturbing and unconscionable. That not one of the courts felt compelled to exercise the Judiciary's lawful authority given to the D.C. Courts, to defenestrate a usurper and fraud, on a Writ of Quo Warranto, is a travesty.
8. The Petitioner, as outlined in his submissions below has met his burden of proof that he has standing. This on the basis of having established Injury having nexus to the illegal act(s) of the respondent and having identified a remedy that this court is empowered by law to grant.
9. Remedy cannot be had in any other court. The DC District court has the sole authority to address and remedy Information(s) in the form of Quo Warranto at common law concerning public officials holding offices, in DC, under the United States. Ineligibility to hold a public office in DC, such as President of the United States and Vice-President of the United States, is not a political question that can only be answered by Congress. The DC Courts have been given the legal authority to adjudicate Quo Warranto issues of Public Officials who hold office in DC, pursuant to Chapter 35 of the D.C. Code.