1	Erica Henderson. Jeffrey Henderson
2	c/o 4360 Huntington Drive, South
3	Los Angeles, California [NON-DOMESTIC]
4	
5	Plaintiffs, In Pro Per
6	
7	
8	In the United States District Court
9	In and For the Central District of California, Los Angeles Division
10	
11	ERICA HENDERSON. JEFFREY) Case No.:
12	HENDERSON, Plaintiffs, MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF
13	Plaintiffs,) MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF) COUNSEL
14	vs.
15	WILLIAM T. FUJIOKA, et al.
16	Defendants.
17	
18	1. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), Plaintiffs move for an order appointing counse.
19	to represent him in this case. In support of this motion, Plaintiffs state:
20	2. The action is not frivolous or without marit and include exceptional
21	2. The action is not frivolous or without merit and include exceptional
22	circumstances. The facts at issue and many items that will be used as evidence
23	are indisputable and all documented in the juvenile court file. From the very
24	
25	first statement by one of the Defendants who stated Plaintiffs don't have any
26	constitutional rights in the juvenile court system, to the last statement at the last
27	hooring when a Defendant admitted on the magnification Desiretiffs had not been
28	hearing when a Defendant admitted on the record that Plaintiffs had not been

- properly served notice of hearing, there is ample evidence that Defendants actions caused a deprivation of Plaintiffs' rights.
- 3. The action is cognizable under § 1983. The deprivation the Plaintiffs face is significant because they involve Plaintiffs interest in maintaining a parent-child relationship which is a compelling one, ranked among the most basic of civil rights.
- 4. There are triable issues of material fact. Since the law is not clear whether a parent has a right to proceed in pro per in the jurisdictional hearing of a juvenile matter, it will best serve the ends of justice to have both sides of this difficult legal issue presented by those trained in legal analysis.
- 5. Credibility of Defendants' witnesses are an important factor in this case, and therefore a professionally trained litigator is necessary for investigation and cross-examination. Evidence will be proffered to impeach the honesty and character of the Defendants, and in particular, evidence that shows the Defendants falsified reports, made an unlawful seizure, and gave false and contradictory testimony all of which would be relevant and admissible to establish the defendant's character for honesty and truthfulness. Counsel will be required in order to interview witnesses and obtain other evidence of the dishonest character of the Defendants to show that the defendant acted in conformity with that character at the time of the incident. Furthermore, the

expertise of experienced counsel will be needed in order to use this evidence to impeach any testimony of the defendant at trial with acts showing a morally lax character and hence a readiness to lie; specifically, expertise in the presentation of this type of evidence, in shaping the examination of witnesses; and in the techniques of cross-examination necessary in a case of this nature. In addition, Plaintiff will need to call witnesses to give expert medical, psychological, legal, and law enforcement testimony to show that damages were caused by Defendants against Plaintiffs under color of law.

- 6. Plaintiffs' financial position greatly limits their ability to litigate. The issues involved in this case are complex, the Defendants are well healed, Defendants have access to almost unlimited funding, control the cream of the litigation field in these parts, and this complaint will require significant research and investigation. Plaintiffs have no financial resources, limited knowledge of the law, and no knowledge of local statuary procedure.
- 7. Plaintiffs' complaint is not factually simple and legally straightforward. A trial in this case will likely involve conflicting testimony, and counsel would better enable Plaintiffs to present evidence and cross examine witnesses. The appointment of counsel, the professional briefing on the obvious violations on the record, and the oral argument of said counsel would materially advance the issues presented in the complaint.

1	8. Plaintiff has made repeated efforts to obtain a lawyer. Attached to this motion
2	(ATTACHMENT "A") is an email sent to following civil rights attorneys who
3	ara mambara of the California Dare
4	are members of the California Bar:
5	Thomas Arthur Mesereau Jr - #91182 M Gerald Schwartzbach - #59985
6	Joseph Martin Barrett - #143974
7	Thomas Joshua Ritz - #172364
8	Jeffery John Carlson - #60752 Linda Susan Klibanow - #74647
9	Scott S. Thomas - #106720
10	Robert M Kitson - #214091 Heather Appleton - #162283
11	Tonette Josue Jaramilla - #174625
12 13	Karyn Alycia McCreary - #225410 Michael Linzymiah Kennedy - #269566
14	
15	9. Plaintiffs move the court to appoint counsel to this case as soon as they accept.
16	10.Plaintiffs, Erica and Jeffrey Henderson, two of the people of California, affirm
17	under the pains and penalties of perjury in this court of record that the foregoing
18	facts are true and correct and based on their personal knowledge.
19 20	11. Executed on 12/07/2012 in Los Angeles County, California.
21	Respectfully submitted,
22	Trespectivity successive,
23	By:
24	Jeffrey Henderson. Erica Henderson
25	Plaintiffs, In Pro Per
26	(Henderson Family Seal)
27	
28	

1 ATTACHMENT "A" 2 3 request for counsel 4 5 Thu, Dec 6, 2012 at 2:24 PM 6 Bcc: jritz@rrhllp.com, sst@paynefears.com, lklibanow@lsklaw-adr.com, happleton@appletonlg.com, mgs@mgslawyer.com, mesereau@mesereauyu.com, 7 kmccreary@swdlaw.net, jbarrett@cochranfirm.com, rkitson@littlaw.com, 8 carlsoni@cmtlaw.com, michael@estellekennedylaw.com, toni@tjjlaw.com, Shawn McMillan <attyshawn@netscape.net>, info@parentalrights.org 9 Dear Counselor, 10 My wife and I are requesting that you consider championing our 11 complaint against the county of Los Angeles for damages in excess of \$1,000,000. Please review the following documents online which are 12 being filed downtown in the Los Angeles division of the United States 13 District Court this afternoon. Thank you for your time in reviewing this matter. I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest 14 convenience. 15 16 http://www.protectfamilies.org/briefs/7010.pdf http://www.protectfamilies.org/briefs/7011.pdf 17 http://www.protectfamilies.org/briefs/7012.pdf 18 http://www.protectfamilies.org/briefs/7013.pdf http://www.protectfamilies.org/briefs/7014.pdf 19 20 21 JE. H. and ER. H. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28