that the State misrepresents the constitutional requirements for the office of President". I have proven that NY State does, indeed, misrepresent those requirements, in derogation of federal law and NY State Law. It is not solely the petitioner that "considers ineligible" those candidates who are not "Natural Born Citizen[s]". It is the US Constitution that does so. The specious and spurious statement by the Appellate Division that harm "may not come to pass" belies the fact that the 2008,2012 and 2016 Presidential elections had constitutionally barred individuals running for President and Vice-President. Indeed, one of them, Barack Obama was actually "elected". Barack Obama usurped the Presidency by fraud, during time of war. That makes Obama our nation's second usurper. Chester Arthur, also not a "Natural Born Citizen" was our nation's first usurper.

In closing, the United States Supreme Court established the legal definition of a "Natural Born Citizen" in Minor v Happersett, 88 US, 162 (1875) as "One born in the United States to Parents who are both US Citizens themselves" They reaffirmed that definition in several other cases including, The Venus, Wong Kim Ark, Dupont and they let it stand undisturbed in Laity v NY, USSCt.

Neither Obama,McCain,Cruz,Rubio,or Jindal meet that criteria for one reason or another.,yet NY State has allowed, is allowing and continues to allow ineligible candidates to be placed on the ballot. They will continue to do so unless NY State is enjoined from doing so. This Court, at the least, should instruct the NY Board of Elections to change the wording they use under the criteria for eligibility to be President from "Born a Citizen" to the required "Natural Born Citizen". The term "Born a Citizen" is not tantamount to the term "Natural Born Citizen".

Robert C. Laity, Pro Se, Appellant
43 Mosher Drive
Tonawanda, NY, 14150
Tel. #: (716) 260-1392