IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR ROANE COUNTY, TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE)	
)	CASE NO. 2013-CR-197
V •)	
)	JUDGE WICKS
ROY COOK)	

EX-PARTE MOTION TO DISMISS INDICTMENT FOR PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT

ANN GOLDSTON Time 4:35pm By Dimer Silve on D.C. The following pages lists 7 instances of Prosecutorial Misconduct that include but are not limited to concealment of evidence, making false statements in court concerning the existence of evidence, tampering with evidence to aid in the concealment, and blatant refusal to obey a judge's order. Due to the actions of the prosecution in this case the defendant is unable to receive a fair trial as his ability to discredit the prosecutions assertion of the crime of extortion has been hampered by the actions of the prosecution.

The Rules of Criminal Procedure do allow for the court to dismiss an indictment. It may not be specifically mentioned but it does allow in such cases the judge to take whatever action is deemed just in cases where the prosecution has acted so egregious. In this case the other remedies such as not allowing evidence to be presented do not correct the issue of the misconduct for even if the prosecution was ordered to turn over the missing evidence, at this point there is no guarantee they will do so. They can afford to act with such impunity because they have nothing to lose. Nobody can be prosecuted for tampering with or concealing evidence because they have prosecutorial immunity.

In addition, if the prosecution was to finally produce the missing evidence, the elapsed time since the indictment makes it more difficult if not impossible for that evidence to be as useful to the defense as it would have been 3 years before. Witnesses memories are less accurate. Records of emails and phone calls that may have be obtainable to dispute the validity of the prosecution's evidence are likely no longer retrievable.

The only remedy for prosecutorial misconduct as aggreges as this is dismissal of the indictment.

Instances of Prosecutorial Misconduct:

- 1. Prosecutor Edwards concealment of the forensic analysis: On 4/15/16 at a status hearing AG Edwards says twice there was no forensic analysis done. (EXHIBIT 23) Then when you read his letter to Jedidiah McKeehan dated 9/16/16 (EXHIBIT 13) he changes his story to say the forensic analysis was "related to me various times as not done or if done nothing was found in the computers of evidentiary value either inculpatory or exculpatory". If that truly was the case, then that should have been revealed because even if we are to believe that the lack of finding any emails on the defendant's computer is of evidentiary value because it can be used to discredit and challenge the validity of the emails provided by the plaintiff to the prosecution. The revelation of the existence of the forensic analysis also would have led to the discovery of other law enforcement agents the plaintiff made statements to. For example, Shane Harold who conducted the analysis had a 62 minute conversation with the plaintiff he can now not recall. This can be confirmed by the Vonage phone logs (EXHIBIT 19). When tracing the activity on that phone log it is also apparent Investigator Vittatoe knew of the forensic analysis and gave the plaintiff the phone number to call Shane Harold.
- 2. When the records of Shane Harold were subpoenaed AG Edwards obtained them the last week of June and did not turn them over to the court clerk but waited til 9/16/16 to finally turn them over the Jedidiah McKeehan 9/16/16 with his poor explanation. Shane Harold per the rules of criminal procedure should have answered the subpoena by sending the records of the forensic analysis to the court clerk. Instead, Lt. Dan Schneider drives to Madisonville to pick up evidence and delivers it to AG Edwards. Instead of faxing, emailing, or using US Mail, a law enforcement official travels about 100 miles to retrieve 3 pieces of paper. Then delivers them to AG Edwards who conceals them for an additional 10 weeks. (EXHIBIT 6)
- 3. AG Edwards also has concealed additional emails and lied about that too. In Exhibit 6 Edwards states "I have worked this question over and over with Josh Hedrick and I cannot produce any more email. Mr. Cook believes we have it but we do not and we do not intent to subpoena any additional email from any source." Edwards was ordered by Judge Eblen on 11/16/15 to turn over the non-redacted email. There was an outstanding subpoena that Hedrick had promised to follow up on with Earthlink and never did. If Edwards and Hedrick worked on this then why didn't they just call Demarkis Horton at Earthlink. He refused to speak to the defendant because he was represented by counsel at the time. Surely the 2 of them together could have made a phone call. AG Edwards defiantly refuses to obey a judge's order.
- 4. AG Edwards has also stated he has turned over ALL emails supplied by plaintiff. This is another obvious lie revealed by EXHIBIT 24, an email from the plaintiff to Investigator Vittatoe where he says "I will send you the emails I have received from Roy since February separately." This is a clear indication that were emails supplied to the prosecution prior to February. None of the emails supplied to the prosecution that have been turned over have a January or February date on them.
- 5. In addition, the AG have withheld phone records supplied by the plaintiff and lied about them. AG Edwards latest denial of the existence of additional phone records is contained in EXHIBIT 23 where he states "I guess I should at least point out, nothings been covered up. I've given everything in my file to the different attorneys who have asked for it. I don't think I have any

additional records for Vonage that hasn't been seen". AG Edwards has constantly denied the existence of other phone records during other hearings and email exchanges with prior attorneys. However, when reviewing EXHIBITS 22 and 22A it become obvious this is a lie. EXHIBIT 22 is an email from the plaintiff to Vittatoe where he states "The excel spread sheet shows calls to and from my office numbers. It also shows calls to and from my home number 901 347 0425." This statement clearly reveals the existence of call logs from at least 2 other numbers that are not the 901 347 0425. Where are they? EXHIBIT 22A also has calls numbered that I assume correspond with the recordings. Notice that calls number 1 4 6 7 and 8 are missing. In addition, this "log" is NOT a Vonage log but a log created by the plaintiff. Where are the actual logs these were created from? There should be something resembling EXHIBIT 9.

- 6. More evidence of prosecutorial misconduct concerns the conflicting evidence of the forensic analysis provided by Shane Harold. In his statement, he claims to have examined 6 items (EXHIBIT 6). The Monroe County Sheriff's Office Electronic Forensic Request Form (EXHIBIT 7) lists 4 items and is not signed or dated. In Vittatoe's handwritten notes he only mentions 2 laptops (EXHIBIT 8). In addition, it's highly unlikely Shane Harold's can remember exactly what he examined and exactly what he found but can't remember a 62 minute conversation with the plaintiff. Also, Shane Harold admits to lying in his own statement. In one sentence he says "As far as I can remember I did not find any of the information on the email accounts that was asked for...." The very next sentence he says "Roy Cook called me in May 2016 asking me about what was found which I told him I do not remember...." So one sentence he can recall not finding anything but the next sentence he admits to telling defendant he did not remember what he found. Then again why would Dan Schneider even be involved in retrieving subpoenaed records from Shane Harold. Add to that (EXHIBIT12); an email from Schneider to Edwards requesting guidance from AG Edwards the day after he had already answered his subpoena regarding the forensic analysis. We don't know what the "this" referred to in the email is.
- 7. Even more evidence of misconduct exists in the altered Property Receipt (EXHIBIT 1) Vittatoe's signature is a forgery. Compare that signature to EXHIBITS 2 2A 3 4 & 5. The signature on the Property Receipt is drastically different. One may ask why would someone do that. The answer is to conceal the results of the forensic analysis of the computers. EXHIBIT 2A, the Evidence Room Receipt Log makes it appear as if nothing left the evidence room or was ever returned. Shane Harold admits in his statement he gave the evidence back to Schneider around the end of 2013 but it was not returned to the defendant til a year after that. The results and existence of the forensic analysis should have been turned over to the defense with all the other evidence received in August of 2014. More proof that the Property Receipt is altered is in the handwritten statement on the Evidence Room Receipt Log (EXHIBIT 2A) by Vittatoe. It states "All items highlighted have been released (5-21-13) to Lt. Dan Schneider for forensic analysis". None of the items on the forged Property Receipt (EXHIBIT 1) are highlighted. From this one can only conclude that the Property Receipt supplied to the defense has been altered to conceal the forensic analysis.

In conclusion, the evidence presented with this motion clearly reveals evidence of prosecutorial misconduct. That misconduct includes making untrue statements to the court, defying a judge's order to supply evidence, tampering with evidence, and concealment of exculpatory evidence. Based on these facts this indictment should be dismissed.

WARNINGS AS TO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

Before we ask you any questions, you must understand your constitutional rights:

You have the right to remain silent, and you need not answer any questions;

If you do answer questions, your answers can be used as evidence against you in court;

You have the right to consult with a lawyer before or during questioning;

If you cannot afford to hire a lawyer, one will be provided to you without cost;

If you decide to answer questions now, without a lawyer present, you will still have the right to stop answering questions at any time until you talk to a lawyer.

WAIVER

I have read, or have had rights are, and I am wil lawyer being present.	read to me, my constitutional rights. I understand what my ling to make a statement and answer questions without a
	Signature of Interviewee Signature of Special Agent
Witness:	
Witness:	DATE: 9-24-13 TIME: 9:30 pm,



Drug & Violent Crimes Task Force Ninth Judicial District 1008 Bradford Way



(865) 376-2145 phone Kingston, TN 37763

Property Receipt

Cocation: 191 Two goods prive Case Number: Roy Cook Scarch

Date: 4-24-2013

	- - 20)13-	d _R	-197	<u> </u>	Ł0	ok S	246	/20:	16 - ₇	-Disç
From: _		e	•	,	I)	, ·	1		/	Quantity
Roy Cook To:	End	Caternal Mord orlus	N	Brown Day Plannet	netsear think drive	HP Pavilian aldogh Desktop	Notebook Pc model -M5-1656	3 7	HTC Smart phone AtoT	Samsong Flip phone	Description of Items
Drug Task Fo		Thomas Adroom	Thomas' Bedrun	Downstald living some	Dobostail 1. Way Alex	DoWnStairs living acco	DOWNSTAIRS living Accor	DELASTARS live plan	Sofy pownstairs	Passen of Roy Cook	Location Found
rage 112 of 271			removed		96 6091853305	WXE 5480845	16561-005 BUSKloc4000	HRQ19166701077E	unknows	onknown	Serial Number (if applicable)
	LA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					0000	36			s

Page

Forsed Signature

2013-CR-197 R. Cook 9/16/2016 -- Discovery 1

day of Meriff | 2012 Oct | Deputy) Sheriff | Agent

Came to hand same day issued. Executed as commanded by delivering a copy of the warrant to Roy Cook And by searching the within described residence and the defendent, and by reason of such search I found the following described contraband:

Dags 175 of 271

S

FXS to 63 egsq

Evidence Room Receipt Log

District Attorney General Ninth Judicial District

2602	2017040100	70.70) S			
Defendant			Offense			
	とっこ こっつん		1277.	K77. F+Te+		
			Evidence In			
Shelf #:		Date Received: Y	125/13	- 1	Time Received: 7. 00	md/dug/
į	Description of Fuldance:		***			
		1	ķ	17.6.14	×	ا ا
		ないまた。こ	0.00	14.18.725	Z.	
Pacei	Poceived By			N		V
		Print Evidence Custodian Name			Evidence Custodian Signature	
Recein	Received From: / Prints	Print Submitting Agent Name			Submitting Agent Signature	
		બ ડ	Evidence Out			
	Custodian Initials	Agent Initials	Date	Time	Purpose	Return Y/N
ă						
드						
ö						
٩						
Out						
<u>_</u>						
ă						
Ę						
		Final Dis	Final Disposition of Evidence	Evidence		
	To Court – Docket No.	Vo.				
	To		Lab			
	To Other Jurisdiction (Specify)	on (Specify)				
	To Be Destroyed – Destruction Order Attached Y / N	Destruction Order	Attached	Y/N		
	Returned to Owner					
	Other (Specify)					

WARNINGS AS TO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

Before we ask you any questions, you must understand your constitutional rights:

You have the right to remain silent, and you need not answer any questions;

If you do answer questions, your answers can be used as evidence against you in

You have the right to consult with a lawyer before or during questioning,

If you cannot afford to hire a lawyer, one will be provided to you without cost;

If you decide to answer questions now, without a lawyer present, you will still have the right to stop answering questions at any time until you talk to a lawyer.

WAIVER

rights are, and I am willing to make a statement and answer questions without a have read, or have had read to me, my constitutional rights. I understand what my awyer being present.

TIME 9 DATE % 24-13

Page 70 of 271

On April 24, 2013 I executed a search warrant on the residence of Roy Cook, located at 141 Twin Oaks Drive in Rockwood, TN. The purpose of the search was to seize and analyze the electronic communication devices owned and possessed by Roy Cook. Numerous items were seized including multiple computers, telephones, external hard drives and other items that will be forensically analyzed by a forensic expert looking for evidence of the extortion and harassment by Roy Cook.

At the time the search warrant was executed both Roy Cook and Thomas Cook were present at Roy Cook's home in Rockwood. Both Roy and Thomas Cook were Mirandized and neither wanted to speak about the Investigation.

I am submitting this case file to the district attorney's office to be presented before the next Grand Jury in Roane County Criminal Court and seeking indictments against Roy Cook for attempted extortion and harassment. I have found no evidence to support Thomas Cook's involvement in the extortion except through the recorded calls with Roy and believe there is insufficient evidence to proceed with charges against Thomas at this time.

Andrew Cook later reported to me that he discovered the stolen items at his residence in Costa Rica but insisted that he had never taken them to his house. Andrew Cook maintains that Thomas had access to his house and schedule, and most likely returned the items while he was not at home to avoid prosecution. If the items were returned to Andrew Cook or even misplaced by Andrew Cook, the elements of the offense of attempted extortion and harassment are still present and valid against Roy Cook. Andrew Cook will be asked to come to Roane County, TN from Costa Rica and participate in the presentment of this case before a Grand Jury.

Existing evidence in this case is the emails, the recorded telephone calls, the telephone records and vestimony of Andrew Cook. Additional evidence may be discovered as the items recovered from Boy Gook's home is analyzed and evaluated. The forensic analysis will not be completed by the time this case is presented before the Grand Jury.

Criminal Investigator Jeff Vittatoe

District Attorney General's Office

9th Judicial District

browser history. As far as I can remember I did not find any of the information on the email programs most of the information stays on the web and possibly in the the email accounts that was asked for using Forensic Tool Kit by Access Data. Roy case. Dan Schneider is the one that brought me the items. There were 6 items. 4 hard drives and 2 laptop computers. The information that was wanted was email from web email accounts (gmail). Unless the person is using a desktop version of Cook called me in May 2016 asking me about what was found which I told him I In 2013 Jeff Vittatoe asked me to exam some electronic devices that he had in a do not remember and he also told me that I had a conversation with his brother Andrew Cook back in 2013 and I do not recall what was in that conversation. I gave the items back to Dan Schneider I believe around the end of 2013.

SWANG HAMOUD 1495 ON COOK Itm know Look AND LET 主 BOT 324

Page 264 of 271

2013-CK-197 R. Cook 9/16/2016 -- Discovery 1



2013-CR-197 R. Cook 9/16/2016 -- Discovery 1

Submit a copy of the consent to search and/or search warrant along with this request. Failure to do so will postpone. The request until the items are received. If you don't have either contact Monroe County Sheriffs Office before submission.	Examination Request:								(May be continued on back) Statement Of Facts:		-	Roy Cook	NAMES OF STREET	Priorie No:	Di-	Address:	Agency:
nt to search y with this lli postpone are received, tact Monroe ore submission					3	2			Şı					865-376-2145	Kingston TN	1008 Bradford Way	9 ⁷⁰ DTF
g,	See attached affidavits								spect alle				S C	2145	N	ford Way	
	ed affidavi	\vdash		D _c	Z,	ω 3d	200		gedly exto	-			RACE				- Control
I certify this evidence is associated with a criminal or death investigation. Signature: Submitted by: Detective Daniel Schneider HPD (Type or Part)				Dell Laptop	Notebook P/C MS 1656	3 X ICY DOCK	200 gb HHD Seagate	DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIONS OF	Suspect allegedly extorted monies from the business of the victim			Andrew Cook	Marin EOG	Date of Offense:	Type of Offense:	County of Offense:	OR No:
criminal or death inv ider HPD (Type or Price)	***			Į.	D ₀	Be	ä					I		2/14/2013	Extortion	Roane Roane	
estigation.				Thomas Bedroom	Downstairs living	Bedroom	Thomas Bedroom								Extortion/Haracement	The state of the s	***************************************

Page 266 of 271













2013-CR-197 R. Cook 9/16/2016 -- Discovery 1

				and the state of t	an evaluation of	Boakway	(S)	smalls startal nov.		+ Africa is 2 6 yourse com	Thomas		Zer Lap tags	Andrew
and the second s					10 6 gmail Ear			U. 26th 2012/April 19th 2013	,			العر	Andrew Cook Oper line abolded	901-347-0425

Page 265 of 271

R

9



*																																		
	Charge	Type	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic	Domestic											
	Call	Direction		punoqui	punoqui	punoqul	Outbound	Outbound	Outbound	punoqui	punoqui		Outbound	Outbound	punoqui	punoquI	Outbound	punoqui		Outbound	Outbound	Outbound	Outbound	Outbound	Outbound	punoqul	punoqui	punoqul		punoqui	Outbound	Outbound	Outbound	Outbound
		Device IP		216.115.18.202	69.59.230.106	69.59.230.106	186.4.58.122	186.4.58.122	186.4.58.122	186.4.58.122	216.115.28.202		186.4.58.122	186.4.58.122	69.59.230.106	216.115.18.202	186.4.58.122	216.115.18.202		186.4.58.122	186.4.58.122	186.4.58.122	186.4.58.122	186.4.58.122	186.4.58.122	216.115.18.202	69.59.230.106	216.115.18.202		216.115.18.202	186.4.58.122	186.4.58.122	186.4.58.122	186.4.58.122
	Forwarded to	<u>Number</u>		19013470425	19013470425	19013470425				V:1744.0	19013470425			onroe conty sher 186.4.58.122	19013470425	19013470425	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	19013470425							lopfoe conty sher	719013470425	19013470425	19013470425		19013470425		* 1000		
	Duration	(ss:mm)	1m 01s	1m 20s	1m 01s	7m 14s	1m 03s	1m 08s	1m 24s	21m 42s	1m 52s	100013	(f/m 08s)	/im 38 ≴	1/√s	1m 11s	18m 25s	1m 29s	1m 01s	1m 06s	1m 56s	3m 11s	13m 59s	48th 24s	62m 32s	17s/	1m 10s	1m 41s	1m 01s	1m 08s	5m 48s	1m 06s	1m 18s	3m 32s
		Date End	9/5/2013 16:23	9/5/2013 23:53	9/5/2013 23:54	9/6/2013 0:00	9/6/2013 14:21	9/6/2013 14:59	9/6/2013 15:05	9/6/2013 15:29	9/6/2013 15:23	9/6/2013 15:23	9/6/2013 15:30	9/6/2013 15:33	9/6/2013 16:25	9/6/2013 16:25	9/6/2013 16:45	9/6/2013 16:41	9/6/2013 16:41	9/6/2013 16:46	9/6/2013 16:49	9/6/2013 18:19	9/6/2013 21:15)9/7/2013 16:45	/ 9/9/2013 17:28	9/9/2013 16:43	9/9/2013 16:46	9/9/2013 17:00	9/9/2013 17:00	9/9/2013 17:15	9/9/2013 17:50	9/9/2013 18:03	9/9/2013 20:36	9/9/2013 20:39
		<u>Date Start</u>		9/5/2013 23:53	9/5/2013 23:54	9/5/2013 23:54	9/6/2013 14:21	9/6/2013 14:58	9/6/2013 15:04	9/6/2013 15:09	9/6/2013 15:22	9/6/2013 15:23	9/6/2013 15:30	9/6/2013 15:32	9/6/2013 16:24	9/6/2013 16:25	9/6/2013 16:28	9/6/2013 16:41	9/6/2013 16:41	9/6/2013 16:46	9/6/2013 16:48	9/6/2013 18:16	9/6/2013 24:02	9/7/2013 15:58	(9/9/2013 16:27	9/9/2013 16:42	9/9/2013 16:45	9/9/2013 17:00	9/9/2013 17:00	9/9/2013 17:15	9/9/2013 17:45	9/9/2013 18:03	9/9/2013 20:36	9/9/2013 20:36
		To Number	19013470425	19013470425	19013470425	19013470425	18653046779	18653046779	13032697370	19013470425	19013470425	19013470425	14087275218	14234425002	19013470425	19013470425	17195222811	19013470425	19013470425	17195222811	13032697370	12564321116	13032697359	18659330411	14234425002	19013470425	19013470425	19013470425	19013470425	19013470425	13037990578	14076948886	18642363093	18642363093
		From Number	13039772226	Anonymous	Anonymous	Anonymous	19013470425	19013470425	19013470425	18653046779	14087275218	14087275218	19013470425	19013470425	17195222811	17195222811	19013470425	13032697370	13032697370	19013470425	19013470425	19013470425	19013470425	19013470425	19013470425	13037994205	13037994205	13037990578	13037990578	14076948886	19013470425	19013470425	19013470425	19013470425
	Account	Number	1002596519			1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519	1002596519

From: dschneider@cityofharriman.net on behalf of Dan Schneider [dschneider@harrimanpolice.com] Friday, May 27, 2016 11:06 AM Tina R. Jamagin

Sent

Fax_001.pdf Fwd: Copy Machine Scan/Fax

Subject:

can this make it's way to the General and get him to advise on what he would think needs to be done? Tina Attachments:

Date: Thu, May 26, 2016 at 3:46 PM

Subject: Copy Machine Scan/Fax

OTo: "Schneider, Dan" < dschneider@cityofharriman.net>

OFROM=865 354 1632 DATE=05/26/2016 OTIME=14:44:09 GTO=8658820700

KIIMEZONE--05:00 OFCODE-C

R.

*Detective Daniel Schneider

Harriman Police Department/Cyber Crimes

Harriman TN 37748

30 Pansy Hill Road

Tennessee Internet Crimes against Children Task Force

Office 865-882-3383 XII0 Fax 865-882-0700

865-388-2120

dschneider@harrinanpolice.com

Page 258 of 271





Office of the District Attorney General Ninth Judicial District

Serving Loudon, Meigs, Morgan and Roane Counties Russell Johnson, District Attorney General

September 16, 2016

Jedidiah McKeehan, Esq. 1111 Northshore Drive Knoxville, TN 37919

> Re: State vs. Roy Cook 2013-CR-197 Discovery Request

Dear Jed:

I have received your Motions for Discovery and enclosed is my response. There are two cd discs with this mailing. In light of the many controversies and accusations about discovery I would like to try and provide some history and explanation of various things. First of all the case began before I was with the district attorney's office. At that time discovery was done on an open file basis. Next thing to note is that there has been quite a succession of defense attorneys in the case. Having said all that I would like to give you a history of disclosure of evidence such as I can.

The file shows that open file discovery was provided to Will Wooten of the PD's office on February 5, 2014. At that time there was still apparently outstanding a forensic review of computers seized. That review was related to me various times as not done or if done nothing was found in the computers of evidentiary value either inculpatory or exculpatory. Until recently I never had anything but oral reports from HPD officer Dan Schneider that DA Investigator Jeff Vittatoe had given him some equipment to look at and Dan had asked Shane Harold of the McMinn County Sheriff's Office to look at it. When I first touched this file I was asked by then defense counsel, Walter Johnson, to consider returning the equipment. I located it and Mr. Johnson was told Mr. Cook could pick it up on December 5, 2014 and I am advised that Mr. Cook did pick it up thereafter. Recently, Mr. Cook had made his own inquiries about the forensic assessment and apparently rattled Det. Schneider's cage sufficiently to get him to obtain a written statement from Det. Harold that indicates nothing was found. This was made available

FORENSICA

Phone: (865) 376-2145 Fax: (865) 376-2148

1008 Bradford Way Kingston, TN 37763 Russell Johnson www.9thdag.com

Office of the District Attorney General Ninth Judicial District

Phone: (865) 376-2145

Fax:

(865) 376-2148

Serving Loudon, Meigs, Morgan and Roane Counties Russell Johnson, District Attorney General

McKeehan September 16, 2016 Page 2

to me recently but when I offered it to Alan Moore he suggested I wait until successor counsel was appointed so I am including it in this response.

Ref 4 Eblens Tolda

There are two categories of material that I know the defendant believes that the State has but we do not. One is additional email or unredacted copies of email. I have worked this question over and over with Josh Headrick and I cannot produce any more email. Mr. Cook believes we have it but we do not and we do not intent to subpoena any additional email from any source. Mr. Cook has the power to subpoena email if he wants to but the State has turned over all email in the custody of the State except for some items mentioned later in this letter. He questions whether a particular copy of a particular email was redacted to cover up the name of an FBI agent. He has a point in that the copy of the message does appear to have a blank where a name might appear. However, I know of no involvement by the FBI in this case and even if there was a name in the blank spot I am at a loss to explain how it might be relevant to the case or the defense of the charge. Andrew Cook denies redacting a name and Jeff Vittatoe knows nothing about it and did not retain his own copy of that message. The other category is telephone records. The phone records in the file appear to be copies of phone bills. The investigative report of Vittatoe mentions getting more records. However, this does not appear to have been done but once again I am at a loss to explain how the telephone records may be relevant to the prosecution or the defense. He may be able to issue his own subpoena to the telephone company or to the complaining witness for his phone records if he chooses. The State does not have any phone records that have been given to me from any source and I have asked my officers about them. As far as I can tell Det. Vittatoe decided not to follow up on that. The State does not have any records other than some furnished by Andrew Cook concerning harassing calls he says Roy Cook made to him.

Also in the package you will find copies of virtually all of the correspondence in the case that this office has had with anyone. I have omitted some personal comments made by Andrew Cook to me in email that are not pertinent to the case or more strategic in nature. They are not discovery material and contain nothing discoverable. They will be available to you after he testifies at trial under T.R.Cr.P. 26.2.

Office of the District Attorney General Ninth Judicial District

Serving Loudon, Meigs, Morgan and Roane Counties Russell Johnson, District Attorney General

McKeehan September 16, 2016 Page 3

There is also a section including all pleadings in the file. This is furnished as a courtesy. Essentially, I am providing open file discovery in a formal form. You are also welcome to come and inspect my complete file at any time with the exception of the strategic emails. The defendant may be suspicious that I am concealing something but frankly that would be a lot more trouble to me to conceal anything than to simply turn it all over. Nonetheless please give me a call or file a motion if you think something has been omitted.

Lastly, I am providing a cd disc with recorded phone calls between the defendant and his brother. There are quite a few with some from 2013 and others from 2015. My understanding of the later group is that they represent harassment of Andrew Cook by the defendant and were accumulated by Andrew Cook in support of a motion to impose a no contact bond condition. The earlier group is documentation of the allegation of extortion.

Looking forward to getting this matter completed with you, I am,

Sincerely,

Robert Edwards
Senior Asst. District Attorney General
865/376-2145, ext. 114

Enc. Discovery and 2 cd discs

Phone: (865) 376-2145

Fax: (865) 376-2148

jeffvittatoe - Yahoo! Mail

Search Mall

Tue, 9:05 PM

INBOY CONTACTS CALENDAR

Inbax

Conversations

Sent

Trash (2)

FOLDERS On Not Delete

Notes

GET YOUR

RE: extertion attempt by Roy Cook from Andrew Cook to you + 1 more

pts by Roy Cook to sutort money from me in exchange for the return of my stoten property. Have you made any progress on the sesse

He has recently started sending me harassing amails. He has also sent harassing emails to my son's school, my accountant, and my son's therapist. He is attempting to contact my customers and stander my company because I have refused to pay him any money.

To give you an idea of his rants, here is a sample from a recent email.

how'sthat hot piece of christian ass doing these days.....???? hear you say she dresses like a slut....Is she yummy?? I heard you tried to tap that ass. actually the word is you claim to have tapped it but nobody believes you did. don't wory though, it wasn't her religion that made her say no, it was the fact that you're a disgusting pig.

did laura cut your balls off yet?? or is she pulling a Kay and locking herself in a room for 3 days??

tommorow is fuck up friday..... get ready for a big surprise.....

and on 4/2 its going to get real special....12 days and counting.....shall we do the 12 days of xmas.

I don't think you can handle 12 days of shit the over under on you is 7 days before you finally break.

did you wipe your ass today???/hahaha

and egitin......why do you continue to not address what you did to Lorens and BAE ????? whatswrong??? self awareness is a terrible liting isn't it. HI MY NAME IS ANDREW AND I'M A FLAMING ASSHOLE!!

I'm not sure what he meant by "fuck up Fridey", but I assume he attempted to harass some of my customers. I have not received any feedback from them yet. This particular rant was sent to my accountent and my son's therapist.

Any help you can give would be greatly appreciated. I have asked that he stop sending me emails but he parsists

Andrew Cook Merlin Embedded 10415 Willow Ridge Loop Orlando, FL 32825 Toli Free: (866) 700 7704 Direct: (650) 292 0887 www.merlinembedded.com

> -Original Message From: Andrew Cook [mailto:andrew.cook@mertinembedded.com] Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2013 9:26 PM To: Jeffvittatoe@yahoo.com Subjects extortion attempt by Roy Cook

Roys phone numbers: Cell: (865) 322-0984 Home: (865) 531-4897

I'm not sure about the home phone. He normally calls from the call phone.

Last phone call is attached. I have also provided a document describing each phone call with approximate date

taheat shows calls to and from my office numbers. It also shows calls to and from my home number 901 347 0425. I have marked the significant calls in purple and yellow

It appears that the time stamp on some of the vonege logs is off. I think it is because they were forwarded through a skype number. The document showing the description of the phone cells is more accurate on the time stamps.

I recorded the calls on my IPad using an app called QuickVoice. The application records the date and time of

Let me know if you need anything else.

Andrew Cook Merlin Embedded 10415 Willow Ridge Loop Orlando, FL 32825 Toll Free: (866) 700 7704 Direct: (650) 292 0887 www.merlinembedded.com

Page 1 of 1



			1
Jan 17, 2013	Jan 17, 2013	Jan 18, 2013 Jan 18, 2013 Jan 18, 2013	Jan 18, 2013
07:54 PM	08:09 PM	07:52 AM 07:50 AM 07:48 AM	07:55 AM
19013470425	19013470425	19013470425 19013470425 19013470425	From (1987) (125) (1981
	18653220984 18653220984 18853720983	18653220984 18653220984 18653220984	18653220984
	0:01:00 \$0.00 6:02:00 \$0:00 9:09:00 \$0:00 0:03:00 \$0:00	0:01:00 \$0.00 0:03:00 \$0.00 0:03:00 \$0:00	0:02:00 \$0.00
0:01:00 \$0.00 \$0.00 40.00	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00		0.00 \$0.00 0.00 \$0.00
55712541189	55712724776 557127112220 55712588826 55712575884	55715328954 55715312928 55713540252 55712789230	55725988888 55715373946 55715347218

125 \ 500 C L 9 7

Vanage Phone records From Andrew Cook - Victim

IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR ROANE COUNTY

AT KINGSTON, TENNESSEE

NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT



STATE OF TENNESSEE, * CASE NO. 2013 CR 197

Appellee,

v.

ROY COOK

MOTION TO WITHDRAW ATTORNEY

Appellant. *

April 15, 2016

THE HONORABLE JEFFERY WICKS, PRESIDING JUDGE

APPEARANCES

FOR THE APPELLEE:

Mr. Russell Johnson District Attorney General State of Tennessee Ninth Judicial District P.O. Box 703 Kingston, Tennessee 37763-0703

Mr. Robert Edwards Asst. District Attorney General State of Tennessee Ninth Judicial District P.O. Box 703 Kingston, Tennessee 37763-0703

FOR THE APPELLANT:

Alan R. Moore Attorney at Law 501 Adesa Parkway, Suite B210 Lenoir City, TN 37771

	TOR POINTY
1	IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR ROANE COUNTY
2	AT KINGSTON, TENNESSEE
3	NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
4	
5	STATE OF TENNESSEE, * CASE NO. 2013 CR 197
6	
7	v.
8	
9	ROY COOK. * MOTION TO WITHDRAW ATTORNEY
10	
11	
12	. This cause came on to be heard and was
13	heard on the 15th day of April, 2016, before the Honorable
14	Jeffery Wicks, presiding Judge, holding the Criminal Court
15	for Roane County at Kingston, Tennessee, and the following
16	proceedings were had:
17	
18	ASST. GENERAL ROBERT EDWARDS: Your
19	Honor, Mr. Moore and I have a matter on today's docket.
20	This is the Roy Cook case. Mr. Cook is
21	present. It's item 24, 2013 CR 197. We are set for status
22	today.
23	Your Honor had recently replaced Mr.
24	Hedrick with Mr. Moore, at the insistence of Mr. Cook.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

1	ASST. GENERAL ROBERT EDWARDS: Mr. Moore
2	is before is before having filed a motion to withdraw as
3	counsel today.
4	THE COURT: What's the basis for your
5	motion?
6	ATTORNEY ALAN MOORE: Your Honor, if it
7	pleases the Court, in my motion I detailed several multiple
8	underlying conflicts, which I presented in that motion, that
9	in my opinion permits me from effectively representing Mr.
10	Cook.
11	Number one, we have material and
12	fundamental disagreement on the defense strategy in this
13	case. I tried to resolve it with Mr. Cook; I've been unable
14	to.
15	Number two, Mr. Cook has acted in direct
16	contravention to the legal advice that I have given him.
17	Number three, taking all of that into
18	account, I do believe that the attorney/client relationship
19	and communication at this point, has been damaged to a point
20	to where it cannot be repaired and I would not be able to
21	effectively represent him at this stage.
22	THE COURT: When did you file that?
23	ATTORNEY ALAN MOORE: (No audible
24	response)
25	THE COURT: When did you file that?

1	ATTORNEY ALAN MOORE: Oh, Wednesday,
2	Your Honor.
3	I apologize.
4	THE COURT: What do you have to say
5	about that Mr. Cook?
6	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Your Honor, I - Mr.
7	Moore is my third attorney.
8	THE COURT: I understand that. We are
9	going to run out of them.
10	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Every attorney I've
11	had refused to subpoena or investigate evidence. And it's
12	got to the point because they refused to, evidence has
13	disappeared; evidence that may not be able to get anymore.
14	THE COURT: What has disappeared?
15	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Email records, you
16	can no longer subpoena because they are over four months
17	old.
18	Phone records.
19	I have evidence right here, dozens of
20	emails between me and Walter Johnson.
21	THE COURT: Walter Johnson is no longer
22	on your case. (Indiscernible - all talking at once) Mr.
23	Moore.
24	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: I know. He was my
25	first attorney.

Here's another dozen between me and Mr. 1 Hedrick where I've asked them - Mr. Hedrick served three 2 subpoenas and he put the wrong dates on them and I got 3 (indiscernible) requested the right dates. He never 4 followed up on them. I've got multiple emails here where he 5 said he would follow-up. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Hedrick's no longer your 7 attorney, let's get to Mr. Moore. 8 DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Okay. 9 Mr. Moore is refusing to subpoena a 10 statement from an F. B. I. agent in Knoxville, Tennessee. 11 ATTORNEY ALAN MOORE: And Your Honor, if 12 I may briefly be heard. 13 This is part of the motion that Mr. Cook 14 has filed on this case to dismiss and I was informed that if 15 I did not file it he would. And he would argue it, and I 16 would not. And that is the basis of partly my move to 17 withdraw from the case. 18 I apologize for interrupting. 19 DEFENDANT ROY COOK: The prosecution has 20 taken stuff to conceal information. 21 There was a forensic analysis done of 22 three computers that have never been turned over. 23 The plaintiff, my twin brother turned 24 over his Vonage records, Vonage phone records in an Excel 25

format to the prosecution. They've never turned those over to me.

I don't know how I can prepare a defense if there is evidence missing.

I've been told that the statement of an F. B. I. - a statement made to an F. B. I. agent, that contradict statements made to the Ninth District Prosecutor are not relevant to my case. And I would like someone to explain to me how that is possible because I don't get it.

I tracked the F. B. I. agent down, they didn't. The way I tracked him down, I finally got the Vonage records that have been concealed and there's also - when I reveal the existence of the F. B. I. agent to Josh Hedrick, my second attorney, we agreed that we would not disclose that to the prosecution. But on a November 16th, hearing where Judge Eblen ordered the prosecution to turn over the non-redacted emails where there is a name whited out, evidence has been tampered with. Mr. Edwards stood up here and said it didn't matter if the name that was redacted was an F. B. I. agent, or some other law enforcement agency. He didn't say T. B. I. I don't even know where he got law enforcement agency. But the words F. B. I. came out of his mouth and it didn't faze Josh Hedrick one bit.

THE COURT: Josh Edwards is no longer

```
your attorney.
1
                                              I know.
                        DEFENDANT ROY COOK:
2
                        It's my belief and I have evidence here
3
    that he divulged confidential information.
4
                        I originally requested in August of
5
    2014, August 15th, of 2014-
6
                        THE COURT: Let me ask you something.
7
    Are you going to represent yourself?
8
                        DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Sir, I don't have
9
    the ability to represent myself.
10
                        THE COURT: We are running out of
11
    attorneys. You've gone through three.
12
                        DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Well, could you
13
    please order the attorney to subpoena pertinent information
14
    evidence that would prove me innocent. Order the
15
    prosecution to turn over the records. I got proof they have
16
    the Vonage records.
17
                        Statements from Jeff Vittatoe; Vittatoe,
18
    I finally got him on the phone August of last year, maybe it
19
    was July, and he told me that the only reason that the
20
    prosecution was proceeding with this case was because I did
21
    an interview with an internet paper called the Post and
22
    Email. I got an email from Josh Hedrick to me that confirms
23
    that.
24
                        I would like to get a copy of any email
25
```

```
exchange between my attorney and the prosecutor on that.
1
    But they botched that subpoena. They put the wrong dates on
 2
    it.
3
                        I tried to call EarthLink to get some of
 4
    those subpoenas - the email records.
5
    EarthLink - whatever they call him, the guy in charge of
 6
    that, won't speak to me. Josh Hedrick was supposed to call
 7
    him dozens of times but never did. I would like to know,
 8
    how am I supposed to have a competent defense if I'm not
 9
    allowed to subpoena emails and phone records when the only
10
    evidence against me is email and phone records?
11
                        THE COURT: Is that a question or a
12
    statement?
13
                        DEFENDANT ROY COOK:
                                             Both.
14
                        THE COURT: Are you saying you are not
15
    receiving discovery?
16
                        DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Yes, Sir.
17
                        Lieutenant Dan Snyder did a forensic
18
    analysis of the computers.
19
                        THE COURT: General where are we-
20
                        DEFENDANT ROY COOK: I got the computers
21
    back, I can't access-
22
                        THE COURT: Just a moment, just a
23
    moment, just a moment.
24
                        Have you turned over all discovery in
25
```

this?

1

ASST. GENERAL ROBERT EDWARDS: Your

Honor, this isn't the first time we've had this conversation. It predates your ascension to the bench.

The discovery that I can tell the Court

that I dealt with personally is the email that Mr. Cook has alluded to. I've given Mr. Cook, or his attorneys - I give it to his attorneys. I'm not dealing with Mr. Cook while he's represented - even though he continually tries to get me to respond to emails directly to him, and I will not do that.

But I've given him everything I have,
everything Mr. Vittatoe has, everything the victim in this
case, Andrew Cook, tells me he has. I understand that there
is a name that will appear in one of these emails
(indiscernible - someone coughing) not appear. I have no
explanation for that. I don't have an email that has that
name. Mr. Cook, Mr. Roy Cook, has everything I have in the
context of that email.

I'm hearing now about a forensic examination of computers. There were computers that were seized in this matter. They were subsequently returned, it was quite a while before they were returned, no forensic analysis was ever done that the State is aware of. So I don't have anything to turn over with respect to those

computers, they were returned. 1 I know of no outstanding discovery that 2 Mr. Cook's entitled to. Frankly, as far as I'm concerned I'm as ready to try this case as I'm ever going to be and I'd like to move forward with it. 5 And the only reason we're going slow is 6 because of this kind of situation that the Court's dealing 7 with this morning. 8 DEFENDANT ROY COOK: May I respond to 9 that, Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: (Indiscernible) 11 DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Here's an evidence 12 log. Nothing goes in, nothing goes out. 13 They seized this merchandise - the 14 computers from me on April 23rd. 15 Description of evidence - and I guess 16 this is written by Jeff Vittatoe, see attached to page. Uh, 17 search warrant, and it says all items highlighted have been 18 released May 21st, 2013, to Lieutenant Dan Snyder for 19 forensic analysis. A month they took the merchandise out of 20 my house and they gave it to Lieutenant Dan Snyder for 21 forensic analysis. 22 I can't get any of my attorneys to speak 23 to Lieutenant Dan Snyder, and he won't speak to me. 24 ATTORNEY ALAN MOORE: 25

1	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Excuse me.
2	Mr. Moore did suggest one thing. He
3	suggested filing a motion to have you order the prosecution
4	to investigate finally. Well, they had their chance, they
5	hid evidence. It's like - what about the Vonage records,
6	there's plenty of evidence they had it. They doctored it,
7	they've hid it.
8	Why don't you explain to the Judge while
9	you refused to subpoena or call an F. B. I. agent?
10	ATTORNEY ALAN MOORE: And Your Honor, to
11	add to the State's statement that they will not speak to my
12	client while he's represented by Counsel, I had advised him
13	not to attempt to speak to Counsel, he has done so. He has
14	told me that he will continue to do so, Your Honor.
15	I think this is a good example of how
16	far down the communication has gone between my client and I.
17	I'm ordered not to speak on his behalf in a hearing.
18	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Answer the
19	question, why would you not go interview the F. B. I. agent
20	and get the statement?
21	Why is that not pertinent?
22	I've got an email from Josh Hedrick that
23	said it's not important.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Moore, I will allow you
25	to withdraw.

1	Are you going to be able to hire your
2	own counsel?
3	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: No, Sir, I went out
4	and tried. Everyone I talked to wants anywhere from ten to
5	\$40,000.00. But almost everyone that I spoke to said that
6	there was more than enough reason to file a motion to
7	dismiss. I can't get anyone to do it.
8	THE COURT: Are you going to represent
9	yourself?
10	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: No, Sir, I can't.
11	THE COURT: What do you want me to do?
12	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: I'm not - I can't
13	get them to file a subpoena.
14	THE COURT: What do you want me to do?
15	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: I want you to - if
16	I can find my own attorney, will the State pay for it?
17	I've got them but they're not going to
18	work cheap. And I'm sorry, Your Honor, I didn't start this.
19	I'm entitled to this evidence, I'm entitled to discovery.
20	Nothing was done.
21	THE COURT: What are you saying you
22	don't have?
23	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: I don't have the
24	Vonage call logs that come in an Excel format that Andrew
25	Cook supplied to the prosecution. I don't have all the

emails. I don't have the F. B. I. - the statement that

Andrew Cook made to the F. B. I. agent where he contradicts

himself. I spoke to the F. B. I. agent, he has those

records. I don't have that.

I don't have the forensic analysis of the computers and God knows what else they've covered up.

ASST. GENERAL ROBERT EDWARDS: Your

Honor, I guess I should at least point out, nothings been covered up. I've given everything in my file to the different attorneys who have asked for it.

I don't think I have any additional records for Vonage that hasn't been seen.

Open filed discovery's been done on this at least more than once. The files available for open file discovery now. What I don't have in it, if it's not in the (indiscernible) possession of the State, I can't produce and I'm not required to produce)

assessment of these computers that I'm aware of. It's never been done. Nothing was ever produced in these computers that the State intends to offer as evidence. That's why they were returned to Mr. Cook. So that's - that's a dead horse as far as I'm concerned.

The Vonage records-

THE COURT: Were the attorneys - I mean

1	were the computers returned to you?
2	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Yes, in December of
3	2014. I can't access to them because they are password
4	protected. And the person who knew the password died in
5	June of 2014.
6	The computers were given over to
7	Lieutenant Dan Snyder for forensic analysis.
8	THE COURT: So you're saying-
9	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: We have to believe
10	is that you turn them over and then they didn't do anything
11	with them. Why would you turn them over if he wasn't going
12	to forensically examine them? And what is missing in those
13	forensic analysis-
14	THE COURT: Whoa, whoa, whoa, we
15	are getting way off track here.
16	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Okay.
17	THE COURT: This is about allowing Mr.
18	Moore to withdraw and appointing you another attorney.
19	But let me caution you; okay?
20	You have to cooperate with your
21	attorneys; all right? They're the ones that has been to law
22	school, passed the bar exam. Because you said you can't do
23	that on your own; is that correct?
24	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Yes, Sir.
25	THE COURT: Okay, that's why we

٦	appoint someone to represent you.
1	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: But what if
2	
3	they are corrupt, Your Honor?
4	THE COURT: Who's corrupt?
5	Are you accusing some of the
6	attorneys in this court as being corrupt?
7	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: I have reason
8	to believe they are, yes, Sir.
9	ASST. GENERAL ROBERT EDWARDS: I think
10	that list includes the Public Defender's Office, Mr.
11	Hedrick, Mr. Moore, and myself and anyone who preceded me in
12	this case, Your Honor.
13	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Why would-
14	THE COURT: Mr. Cook, this is what I'm
15	going to do. I'm going to think about appointing you
16	another attorney.
17	Do we have your address where we can
18	send you the information?
19	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Yes, Sir.
20	THE COURT: And this-
21	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Can I turn these
22	emails in?
23	THE COURT: No.
24	I will get in touch with you and send
25	you an order who your new attorney is going to be.

1	But I'm going to caution you, this is
	probably going to be your last attorney. And if you can't
2	
3	get along with this attorney, then you can represent
4	yourself.
5	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Your Honor-
6	THE COURT: Do you understand that?
7	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: -it's my belief
8	that the reason that my attorney's will not subpoena the
9	evidence I'm asking them to subpoena is because it will - it
10	will expose the multiple Brady violations and the
11	concealment of evidence. That's why I think they are not
12	doing it.
13	Why would Josh Hedrick - well we can't
14	talk about that now.
15	THE COURT: All right, we will be in
16	touch with you Mr. Cook.
17	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Can I ask one other
18	question?
19	THE COURT: No, Sir.
20	DEFENDANT ROY COOK: Okay.
21	ASST. GENERAL ROBERT EDWARDS: Do we
22	have any court dates in the future, Your Honor. Perhaps we
23	better make sure we have something on the docket.
24	Mr. Cook, we need to make sure we have
25	another date.

1	THE COURT: We are going to go ahead and
2	set a status date for your attorney.
3	What day do you want to set that for?
4	ASST. GENERAL ROBERT EDWARDS: Maybe
5	toward the end of next term, Your Honor.
6	Dealing with this case appeals to me,
7	and then again it doesn't quite frankly. Maybe we should
8	put it at the early part of the terms so if there's
9	something that has to be done we can get busy doing it.
10	THE COURT: How about - what's our
11	non-jury day the week of the fourth? Do we have one?
12	ASST. GENERAL ROBERT EDWARDS: July 5th.
13	THE COURT: July 5 th .
14	Okay, July 5 th , Mr. Cook.
15	ASST. GENERAL ROBERT EDWARDS: Thank
16	you, Judge.
17	ATTORNEY ALAN MOORE: Thank you, Your
18	Honor.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	END OF REQUESTED PROCEEDINGS
25	

jeffvittatoe - Yahoo! Mail



Search Web Search Mail

FW: lette...

Page 1 of 1

FW: lorene CONTACTS CALENDAR NBOX Spam Delete Inbox RE: Extortion Case

Wed, Apr 24, 2013 at 7:48 PM

Actions

Conversations Andrew Cook Drafts 'Jeff Villatoe Sent

It's eas

to build a BUSINESSWEBSIT

Spam Roy's email addresses are: Trash iekyi@cs.com anonymous0040@gmail.com camm7@cs.com FOLDERS

Do Not Delete Thomas Cook's email addresses are Notes

thomascook.medinyme@gmail.com cookeredwarpiq@gmail.colm MESSENGER APPLICATIONS

There might have been a third person involved but I am not sure. His name is Athanesios Pagonis. He was a former sales manager that I ferminated in January 2012. If he exchanged any smalls with Roy or Tom his address would be tpagonis12@yahoo.com

will send you the emails that I have received from Roy since February separately.

Andrew Cook Merlin Embedded 10415 Willow Ridge Loop Orlando, FL 32825 Toll Free: (866) 700 7704 Direct: (650) 292 0887

www.merlinembedded.com

----Original Message---From: Jeff Vittatoe [mailto:jeffvittatoe@yahoo.com] Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2013 10:34 AM To: andrew.cook@merlinembedded.com Subject: Extortion Case

Just wanted to shoot you and email to keep you up to date. I executed a search warrant this morning at Roy's residence in Rockwood. To my surprise, your other brother Thomas was also present. I seized Roy's phone, his laptop, his desktop, some thumb drives, Thomas' laptop and some other items for analysis. Neither of them wanted to discuss the case with me but Roy was really worried about me taking his computers. I also told Roy that if he contacted you any more it would be additional charges so let me know if he does. It will take a while for our forensic computer guy to search the computers and get me a response but our next Grand Jury meets the first week of June. I am planning to indict Roy (and possibly Thomas) at that time. I will keep you posted. One more thing, Thomas and Roy both told me individually that you located the missing computer boards at your home but I haven't heard that from you. Although it would not effect the extortion case I am wondering if it is true?

Thanks, Jeff Vittatoe